Letter to the Editor

Hippocampal and parahippocampal cortex volume predicts recollection in schizophrenia

Dear Editors,

The neural pathology of episodic memory deficits in schizophrenia is not fully understood, but regions within the medial temporal lobe (MTL) may be particularly important. The perirhinal cortex (PRC) is critical for assessing item familiarity, the parahippocampal cortex (PHC) for processing context information (i.e., time and space), and the hippocampus (HC) for binding items and contexts to support recollective, or contextual, retrieval (Eichenbaum et al., 2007). Volume reductions in the MTL have been observed in schizophrenia (e.g., Karnik-Henry et al., 2012), and correlations between HC and PHC volume with memory performance in siblings of patients (Karnik-Henry et al., 2012). These findings, along with evidence that schizophrenia is related to larger deficits in recollection than familiarity (Libby et al., 2013), suggest that both HC and PHC may be affected by and mediate memory deficits in schizophrenia. Nonetheless, there have not been any attempts to link MTL volume reductions in schizophrenia with recollection and familiarity.

We sought to characterize how MTL subregion volumes relate to recollection and familiarity in patients with schizophrenia by manually-tracing the HC, PRC, and PHC to estimate MTL subregion volumes, and by assessing recognition memory Receiver Operating Characteristic (ROCs) to estimate recollection and familiarity. According to an established protocol (Libby et al., 2012), left and right HC, PHC, and PRC were manually traced (ICC range: .71–.99) on each participant’s high-resolution 1 mm isotropic T1 structural MPRAGE image, and subregions were analyzed as a proportion of each participants’ total grey matter volume. Recognition memory confidence ratings for studied and unstudied words were collected following an fMRI encoding task (see Ragland et al., 2010). ROC analyses plotted performance as a function of confidence and fit this function with a nonlinear model to derive estimates of recollection and familiarity (Yonelinas, 1994). We examined a sample of 22 patients with schizophrenia (21 receiving medication) and 30 healthy controls. Patients exhibited mild positive, mild disorganized, and moderate negative symptom dimensions (see Barch et al., 2003), and severely impaired daily functioning. Controls were matched on age, gender, handedness, and parental education.

We first inspected MTL subregion volume differences between groups, revealing left HC (t[50] = 2.47, p < .05, Cohen’s d = .70) and PHC (t[50] = 2.19, p < .05, Cohen’s d = .58) volume reductions in patients relative to controls. None of the other MTL subregions significantly differed between groups (p > .05).

We then examined whether reduced left HC and PHC volumes in patients were related to recognition memory. Recognition discriminability (d’) correlated with both left HC and PHC volume in patients (rs ≥ .47, ps < .05), but not controls (ps > .05). A direct comparison revealed that left HC (Fisher’s Z = 1.79, p = .07) and PHC (Fisher’s Z = 2.31, p < .05) volumes were more strongly related to recognition performance in patients than in controls.

Finally, we assessed whether recollection and familiarity differentially correlated with left HC and PHC volume. Recollection correlated with both left HC and PHC (Fig. 1) volume in patients (rs ≥ .43, ps < .05), but not controls (ps > .05). The group difference between the correlations did not reach statistical significance in left HC (Fisher’s Z = 1.41, p = .16), but did in left PHC (Fisher’s Z = 2.00, p < .05). Familiarity, on the other hand, did not correlate with left HC or PHC volume in either patients or controls (ps > .05).

The current study is the first to examine the relationship between different MTL subregions and measures of recollection and familiarity in patients with schizophrenia. First, we observed volume reductions in left HC and PHC in patients relative to controls. We further demonstrated that left HC and PHC volumes were directly related to recollection in patients but not controls. This indicates that recollection impairments found in individuals with schizophrenia are likely related to abnormalities in HC and PHC volume. Furthermore, the lack of PRC volume differences or correlations between familiarity and PRC volume in patients (ps > .05) is consistent with evidence that familiarity is less severely impaired in schizophrenia (Libby et al., 2013). One limitation is the small sample size, and we also focused only on MTL regions known to be critical for recognition. Future larger scale studies that examine the role of regions outside the MTL will be important in further identifying structural brain differences related to specific components of encoding and retrieval in schizophrenia.
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Fig. 1. Correlation between left HIPP (upper panel) and PHC (lower panel) volume and estimates of recollection in individuals with schizophrenia (red) and controls (blue). This correlation was significant in both regions for patients (ps < .05), but not controls (ps > .05). Note: MTL volumes are presented as a proportion of whole brain volume multiplied by 100.
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